BREAKING REPORT

19 States Sue Biden Administration Over COVID-19 Vaccine Mandate

By Justin Matteson on September 15, 2021

Share Tweet Pin Mail

Several U.S. states on Friday mounted multiple federal lawsuits against the Biden administration over its COVID-19 [vaccine mandates](#) for federal workers and contractors.

[Texas](#) sued individually by a federal court in Galveston. Another [lawsuit](#) filed in a federal district court in [Missouri](#) involves Alaska, Arkansas, Iowa, Missouri, Montana, [Nebraska](#), New Hampshire, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming. Yet another lawsuit, filed in a federal district court in [Georgia](#), involves Georgia, Alabama, Idaho, Kansas, South Carolina, Utah, and West Virginia.

The lawsuits come a day after Florida Gov. Ron DeSantis [announced](#) he sued the administration over the same vaccine mandate. As of late Friday, the total number of states suing the Biden administration over the mandates is 19.

President Joe Biden [on Sept. 9](#) issued a far-reaching [executive order](#) that requires almost all federal employees to get a COVID-19 vaccine as a condition of employment, including federal contractors and grant recipients. Biden's order also calls for workers to get the COVID-19 testing isn't an option, but religious or medical exemptions from the vaccination may be granted, according to the order. Contractors that don't comply may lose out on government contracts.

All 19 states sent to block Biden's requirement, arguing that the mandate violates federal statutes governing workplace safety and the Constitution. Texas argues that the Biden vaccine mandate violates the 10th Amendment that separates the power between federal and state governments; uses federal spending to coerce the states, which is unconstitutional; and did not properly allow for 60 days of public comment.

The Biden administration did not immediately respond to a request for comment.

'Subterfuge'

The Texas complaint [said](#) filed in a federal court in Galveston, asks the court to declare Biden's vaccine mandate unlawful and to issue a temporary and injunctive relief to block it from taking effect.

"The Biden administration has repeatedly expressed its desire for Americans who choose not to get a vaccine, and it has committed essential and abusive federal overreach to force upon Americans something they do not want," Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton [said in a statement](#). "Texas will not stand for this subterfuge and will continue to fight to protect its citizens from unlawful overreach."

Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton at a border town hall in Mercedes[Texas, on Oct. 13, 2021. (Charlotte Cuthbertson/The Epoch Times)]

[Texas Gov. Greg Abbott, a Republican, praised the lawsuit late Friday.](#) "Under my Executive Order no Texan can be forced to take the vaccine they 'do not' want for [Biden], referring to the president's name. "Texas will challenge Biden vaccine mandates by any entity. He added, "Biden's orders should be ruled illegal."

The lawsuit accuses the Biden administration of "using subterfuge to accomplish what they cannot achieve directly: universal compliance with their vaccine mandates, regardless of individual preferences, healthcare needs, or religious beliefs."

'Unconstitutional, Unlawful, Unwise'

Missouri Attorney General Eric Schmitt and Nebraska Attorney General Doug Peterson on Sept. 15 announced the multi-state lawsuit [said](#) against the Biden administration. The lawsuit argues that the administration's vaccine mandate is "unconstitutional, unlawful, unwise, and unnecessary."

"If the federal government attempts to unconstitutionally exert its will and force federal contractors to mandate vaccinations, the workforce and businesses could be decimated, further exacerbating the supply chain and workforce crises," Schmitt, a Republican, [said in a statement](#). "The federal government should not be mandating vaccinations, and that's why we filed suit today—to halt this illegal, unconstitutional action."

Missouri Attorney General Eric Schmitt speaks during a press conference in St. Louis, Mo., on Aug. 6, 2020. [Jeff Roberson/AP Photo]

In explaining how the vaccine mandate violates the Procurement Act, the complaint reads, "far from increasing economy and efficiency in procurement, the contractor vaccine mandate will undoubtedly cause significant disruption to the federal workforce. Large-scale reimposition of unvaccinated employees of federal contractors. Those disruptive consequences will directly oppose both 'economy' and 'efficiency.'"

Among other counts, the suit also contends the administration has violated the Anti-Commandeering Doctrine and the Administrative Procedures Act.

'Untenable Situation'

[Georgia also led a multi-state lawsuit](#) suit against the federal mandates on Friday. A release from Georgia Attorney General Chris Carr's office said the lawsuit argues that the Biden administration's mandate has placed Georgia's state agencies and officials in "an untenable position."

"In addition to being an unlawful and unconstitutional overreach, this vaccine mandate on federal contractors will only further divide Americans and hamstring our economy," Kemp, a Republican, [said in a statement](#). "Georgia will not stand for this blatant overreach and we will stand with our fellow states to protect the COVID-19 vaccine choice for our employee's perspective, Y'all. If that significant reductions in the workforce that will lead to implement vaccine mandates."

He added, "We will not allow the Biden Administration to circumvent the law to force heartbreaking Georgians to choose between their livelihood or their vaccine."

Georgia Governor Brian Kemp speaks during a press conference in Atlanta, Georgia, on Aug. 10, 2020. [Elijah Nouvelage/Getty Images]

The suit argues that the mandate could only stand if Congress had passed it in a law.

States furthermore argue that a large number of federal contract workers will quit over the mandates, meaning states are caught between breaching the federal contracts either themselves or through their employees, or else having to bear the cost of the sudden departure of remaining unvaccinated workers, thereby violating the federal vaccine requirement.

TRENDING

Report: Over 50 Percent of Americans Have Had COVID-19 or Received the Shot
September 7, 2021
In "Breaking News"

Former Navy SEAL Commander Says Biden Failing His Troops
July 15, 2021
In "Breaking News"

Report Estimates Number of Natural Immunity Against COVID-19
August 2, 2021
In "Breaking News"

RELATED TOPICS: ALABAMA POLITICS GEORGIA IDAHO KANSAS NEWS MISSOURI MONTANA NEBRASKA NEW HAMPSHIRE NORTH DAKOTA SOUTH CAROLINA SOUTH DAKOTA UTAH WEST VIRGINIA WYOMING

Justin Matteson

Justin Matteson is a seasoned author, investigative reporter and the host of the Freedom Fact Control Radio Show. He has been writing and reporting on breaking news for over a decade and is one of the first to break highly sought-after, and controversial, scoops.

READ NEXT ARTICLE

Research Of Pfizer Vaccine Trial Data Finds Over 1,200 Deaths and 42,000 Severe Adverse Events
September 15, 2021

UK records worst-ever week's coronavirus deaths data
September 15, 2021

What is 'we don't care' as Pfizer says rates up Biden left
September 15, 2021

BREAKING REPORT

Revealed! UN Plan To Vax Children Without Parental Consent

By Justin Matteson on December 9, 2021

In Justin Matteson

Share Tweet Pin Mail

"Where parental consent is required, health workers should allow older children and adolescents to provide assent to the vaccination," the document states.

Unlabeled white papers published by the UN's World Health Organization (WHO) in 2019 detail a sneaky approach to bypassing parental consent when it comes to vaccinating children and teens.

The document, titled, "Considerations regarding consent to vaccinating children and adolescents between 6 and 17 years old," explains how to deal with that population group which, "may present for vaccination without an accompanying parent or legal guardian."

The paper gives a normal description of "consent" before describing "assent" as "the process of children's and adolescents' participation in the decision-making on vaccination."

According to the UN description of assent, "international law provides strong support for the participation of children and adolescents in decision-making that affects them, including the planning and provision of health services relevant to them and based on their evolving capacity."

Here, the globalist organization notes that the average age of adulthood throughout the world is 18 years old, and that "by the age group 6 to 17 years cannot generally be considered to vaccination and so consent is **normally**" required from their parent or legal guardian."

However, the paper continues to insinuate allowing adolescents to choose for themselves by claiming:

"In a growing number of countries, the age of consent for medical interventions is set below the age of maturity. This allows adolescents to provide consent for specific interventions, such as access to contraceptives or HIV testing. Some countries have fixed the age of consent specifically to allow HPV vaccination at 12 years."

The next part of the document discusses what to do "when children present for vaccination without accompanying parents or guardians," admitting, "it is challenging to determine whether parents indeed provided consent."

The most ambitious section of the UN white paper is under part 3 of a page titled, "Common Approaches for Obtaining Consent for Vaccination."

There, health workers are told that "implied consent" is a situation where "the physical presence of the child or adolescent, with or without an accompanying parent at the vaccination session, is considered to imply consent."

The globalist group insists working notices to parents announcing vaccination clinics will be appearing at schools is sufficient enough to imply consent if the child then appears at the vaccination clinic without the parent.

In fact, the paper claims it's the parent's responsibility to go out of their way to ensure their child isn't vaccinated without their knowledge or approval.

"Countries that use implied consent for childhood vaccination, consider the parent bringing the child for vaccination as an expression of informed consent," the document states.

Under a section touching on mandatory vaccinations for school-aged children, the document claims, "When mandatory vaccination is established in relevant ministerial laws, consent may not be required."

This section is especially applicable considering the Covid-19 pandemic and related mandatory vaccine laws being established by nations across the globe.

Near the end of the 6-page section, the WHO flat-out tells health care workers to allow children ages 6-17 to decide whether or not they want to be vaccinated, regardless of parental consent.

"Where parental consent is required, health workers should allow older children and adolescents to provide assent to the vaccination," it states.

Under the Biden administration, the United States has repeatedly followed the UN's recommended procedures for Covid-19 and vaccinations.

With the Pfizer Covid vaccine being approved for American kids aged 5 – 11 on Tuesday, can we expect pop-up vaccine clinics to begin injecting schoolchildren without the parents' knowledge?

See the full WHO report below:

RELATED TOPICS: #BREAKING NEWS #CHILDREN #CONSENT #PARENTAL #PLAN #REVEALED #TRENDING NEWS #VAX

Justin Malonson

Justin Malonson is a successful author, investigative reporter and the host of the Freedom Not Control Radio Show. As a serial entrepreneur with a strong background in software development Justin is one of the most highly sought-after tech entrepreneurs today.

ADVERTISEMENT

YOU MAY LIKE

Federal Inquirer

Copyright © 2021 Federal Inquirer. All rights reserved.